

MINUTES
CITY OF INDIAN ROCKS BEACH
CITY COMMISSION MEETING
TUESDAY, JANUARY 14, 2025- 6:00 PM
HOLIDAY INN HARBORSIDE- 401 2ND STREET – KEYWEST ROOM
INDIAN ROCKS BEACH, FL 33785

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m., followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and a moment of silence.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor-Commissioner Denise Houseberg, Vice-Mayor Commissioner Janet Wilson, Commissioner Jude Bond, Commissioner Hope Wyant, and Commissioner John Bigelow telephonically.

OTHERS PRESENT: City Manager Gregg Mims, Finance Director Dan Carpenter, Public Works Director Dean Scharmen, City Clerk Lorin Kornijtschuk, Planning and Zoning Consultants Hetty Harmon and Craig Fuller.

For continuity, items are listed in agenda order, although not necessarily discussed in that order.

1 A. REPORT OF Pinellas County Sheriff's Office.

A PCSO representative reviewed the December 2024 Crime Analysis report.

1 B. REPORT OF Pinellas Suncoast Fire & Rescue District.

Nothing reported.

1 C. REPORT OF Recovery Efforts from Hurricane Helene and Hurricane Milton.

City Manager Gregg Mims reported:

Captain Mike Leiner's retirement was acknowledged after over 30 years of service, including a decade spent working with Indian Rocks Beach. The City is working on insurance claims and final estimates for repairing City Hall and the Public Works Building. The renovation of the Nature Preserve boardwalk is complete. The parking lot will soon be repaved, and minor restroom maintenance will be done. A reopening date for the boardwalk will be announced soon.

Civilsurv representative Craig Fuller reported:

Mr. Fuller addressed concerns about substantial damage determinations, emphasizing that many initial assessments may be inaccurate. Homeowners can challenge these determinations by submitting complete applications with supporting evidence, such as photos, cost breakdowns, and descriptions.

Civilsurv Planning and Zoning Consultant Hetty Harmon reported:

Moving forward, the Hurricane Restoration pre-approval email will be used instead of the SDR evaluation email. Permits will be reviewed along with cost breakdowns for evaluation. Even if there is no damage to a condo or townhouse, owners must submit all required documentation to proceed with renovations or repairs.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg opened Public Comment.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS.

Don House, 2104 Beach Trail, stated the beach looks good. He also stated he received a letter from Pinellas County regarding signing an easement for beach renourishment, and he reached out to John Bishop to discuss the letter.

Hilary King, 327 Bahia Vista Drive, introduced herself and announced that she is running for a City Commission seat.

Richard Alvaro, 310 La Hacienda Drive, questioned the accuracy of the substantial damage letter that he received from the county.

Scott Ayers, 108 8th Avenue, stated he manages rentals and offers affordable housing.

Anthony Pereira 1400 Bay Pine Blvd. stated his biggest concern is with the substantial damage in the building permit process.

John Pfanstiehl, 448 Harbor Drive South, read a Facebook page post regarding the investor group being given prior notice of what was to be on the agenda prior to the public knowing.

Kelly Cisarik 448 Harbor Drive South had concerns that a workshop was not scheduled for the agenda topics.

Kelly Watt, 431 Harbor Drive South stated she was surprised that there were no workshops.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg closed Public Comment.

3A. REPORT OF the City Attorney.

City Attorney Mora reported the legislative session is scheduled to begin in March.

3 B. REPORT OF the City Manager.

Report provided in Hurricane update.

3 C. REPORT OF the City Commission.

Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson emphasized that all members of the Commission are residents of Indian Rocks Beach and have personally been affected by the recent events, each in different ways. She shared her experience of having several inches of water in her townhouse and the challenges of navigating the permitting process, including spending six hours in Clearwater to secure a permit. She assured residents that the Commission members are going through the same process and challenges, filling out the same paperwork and receiving the same letters.

Commissioner Wyant acknowledged that she had read all the residents' emails and had received their input.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg addressed concerns about misinformation circulating in the community, specifically regarding allegations of the Commission being influenced by investors. She firmly stated that none of the Commission members have taken any information or favors from investors and found it insulting that such claims were being treated as facts. She acknowledged that difficult decisions lay ahead for the Commission but reiterated that Indian Rocks Beach is a vacation community, and businesses in the area rely on visitors to survive. She emphasized that the town's history and identity are rooted in being a destination for tourists.

Commissioner Bond. Nothing to report.

Commissioner Bigelow. Nothing to report

4. ADDITIONS/DELETIONS. None.

The City Attorney read Consent Agenda 5 A.

5. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. APPROVAL OF December 10, 2024, Regular City Commission.

Motion made by Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson, seconded by Commissioner Wyant to approve the consent agenda, consisting of agenda item 5 A.

Motion passed 5-0

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. AUTHORIZATION for City Attorney to Transmit Harris Act Claim Response.

City Attorney Mora explained the responsibilities of several parties regarding the response to the Bert Harris Act claim. The Florida Legislature is first responsible due to the statutory requirements of the Harris Act, which mandates that a local government respond to a claim within 90 days. The second party responsible is the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, due to ongoing litigation related to a short-term rental ordinance, where the city had to comply with a court-ordered mediation. The third factor is the timing of events, with Mora emphasizing the need to act before the 90-day deadline, which falls before the next city commission meeting. City Attorney Mora outlined the previous attempts at mediation and the challenges faced in reaching a resolution. Despite mediation, the proposed settlement was not approved by the city commission in August 2024, and the case was reopened shortly thereafter, with further discovery and litigation continuing. He explained as the city attorney his role is to advise the commission and city administration while adhering to legal deadlines and court orders.

City Attorney Mora responded to questions about the nature of work sessions, stating that Florida's Sunshine Law, as outlined in Chapter 286 of the Florida Statutes, does not specifically mention "work sessions." The law only references public meetings and substantive discussions. Mora emphasized that there is no meaningful distinction between a work session and the first reading of an ordinance because the Commission has the authority to act or make decisions in both settings.

City Attorney Mora responded to concerns about collusion by stating that the city's adversaries in litigation were aware of all the same information as the city, as they were present during the mediation. Mora explained that the adversaries had access to the same details discussed and fully anticipated that this information would likely be presented.

City Attorney Mora clarified that the property at 455 20th Avenue is the only one with a substantive Bert Harris claim filed. The proposed settlement includes offering a change to the occupancy limit for the affected property, increasing it from 12 to 14, in exchange for the dismissal of the claim. If the settlement is accepted, the case would be resolved.

City Attorney Mora responded to the request for an alternative legal opinion but emphasized that the city is represented by a law firm through its insurance and that litigation counsel, Carlos Kelly, had already briefed the Commission.

City Attorney Mora clarified that not all properties can make the same claims as the one in question, and any potential lawsuits are fact specific. City Attorney Mora warned against delaying the discussion, as a response to the litigants is required within two weeks. He advised against leaving the issue open-ended.

City Attorney Mora empathized with the residents' concerns but emphasized that the city cannot prohibit short-term rentals outright due to legal constraints. He encouraged the community to voice concerns to legislators, as decisions affecting short-term rentals are often made at the state level.

City Attorney Mora clarified that the city cannot prevent private individuals from purchasing property and that market dynamics often lead to higher returns from short-term rentals, which can influence property sales. He noted that this is a significant challenge in managing the issue.

City Attorney Mora reviewed the 2024-2025 budget that was adopted in October.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg opened Public Comment.

Don House, 2104 Beach Trail, stated the sitting commission is left dealing with something they did not initially vote on.

Kelly Cisarik, 448 Harbor Drive South, questioned if the property being discussed is running lawfully.

John Pfanstiehl, 448 Harbor Drive South questioned how the property could be operating legally.

Bob Copeland, 447 20th Avenue, stated "The Citizens Group" sued the fire department.

Rhett Parker, representing the property at 455 20th Avenue, explained that the FEMA compliance issue, which involved a notice of violation and subsequent inspection, has been resolved, and the property is now FEMA compliant. Regarding the lawful operation of the property, Parker emphasized that it is legally operating as a short-term rental under the 2018 ordinance, which grandfathered in the property due to the ongoing litigation. Parker also discussed the fire safety concerns raised in the complaint, asserting that the property is

compliant with fire safety regulations due to adequate means of egress, such as accessible staircases. He argued that the fire safety statutes are intended for hotels and motels, not properties like AP Six, which already have proper exit routes. Lastly, Parker clarified that even if the settlement proceeds, AP Six would still need to go through the application process required by the ordinance, ensuring that it remains compliant with all necessary regulations.

Tom Pianko, 540 20th Avenue South, raised concerns about the potential consequences of settling with the property. He suggested that the city hire a third-party legal counsel to provide independent advice.

Kelle Watt, 431 Harbor Drive South expressed concerns that settling the Harris claim could set a precedent, potentially encouraging more lawsuits.

Rhonda Smallwood, 439 Harbor Drive North, stated that the commission is encouraging more bad behavior.

Patti Katz, 124 13th Ave, expressed frustration over the impact of short-term rentals in their community. She raised concerns about the strain on local infrastructure. She suggested seeking a second legal opinion.

Laura West, 486 Harbor Drive South, expressed concern about the increasing presence of short-term rentals in her neighborhood. She expressed concern about the negative impact on property values.

Diane Daniels, 309 10th Avenue, expressed frustration with the situation. She stated she is familiar with Airbnb and rents out her own property.

Beth McMullen, 481 Harbor Drive South, shared concerns about a new owner of a large house across the street from her that was advertising the property for 18 people on a vacation rental website.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg closed Public Comment.

Commissioner Bond questioned if 6 A is influenced by or dependent on what happens with 6B and suggested that the commission vote on 6B first.

Commissioner Bigelow stated that 455 20th Avenue purchased the property with plans to turn it into a business but failed to conduct proper due diligence. He suggests that the company is now unfairly expecting the city of Indian Rocks Beach to spend a million dollars to fix what he views as a bad investment.

Mayor Commissioner Houseberg asked if there is any value to moving item 6A to the second item.

Commissioner Wyant stated that everyone's emails were received, and she felt like they were mostly answered.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg opened Public Comment.

Beth McMullen, 481 Harbor Drive South, stated she is aware of the vacation dynamics in the community and has had to adapt to the challenges. She acknowledged that some issues, like limiting short-term rentals in neighborhoods, may need state-level action.

Carol Sampey, 434 Harbor Drive North, questioned whether the lawsuit is based on the economic loss or property value of short-term rental. She emphasized that it is not the city's responsibility to guarantee the income of businesses.

Kelley Cisarik, 448 Harbor Drive South stated that the property is still advertising for 14 guests, which suggests they have not experienced an economic loss.

Matt Knez, 111 Canal, has concerns about the financial costs of moving forward with the lawsuit, particularly attorney fees and depositions. He stated that he owns property used as both a vacation home and a short-term rental to help pay for it.

Carol Britz, 466 20th Avenue, highlighted the financial impact of short-term rentals, highlighting trends in the market.

Lynn Rothman, 929 Harbour House Drive, commended the Commission and City Attorney Mora for handling a difficult decision, acknowledging the complexity of the situation.

Randy Briggs asked for clarification on how the dollar value of the lawsuit was determined.

Laura Robison, 1923 Gulf Boulevard, expressed concern about noise and crime associated with large, multi-level homes used for short-term rentals. She urged the Commission not to settle the lawsuit.

John Pfanstiel, 448 Harbor Drive South, responded to repeated claims that nothing can be done about short-term rentals, asserting that action can be taken, particularly by working with Tallahassee to preserve residential zoning. He suggested rejecting the settlement.

Patty Katz, 124 13th Avenue, expressed frustration over the Commission's consideration of changes that go against the wishes of local residents. .

Rhett Parker, representing APC, addressed misconceptions regarding the lawsuit and the Bert Harris claim. He clarified that the claim arises from the property being purchased in 2021 under the expectation of the 2018 ordinance, which was later altered in 2023, resulting in a diminished property value. He emphasizes that the Bert Harris claim is based on the reduced value of the property, not lost revenue or profits.

David Whiteside 446 Harbor Drive South, expressed concern about the impact of changes to the short-term rental regulations. He stressed the importance of upholding the current regulations.

Jennifer Riley, 510 Janice Place, expressed concerns about changes in the ordinances affecting her residential neighborhood. She emphasized that residents are not asking for short-term rentals to be shut down, but for ordinances to be enforced.

Tom Wilson, 444 Harbor Drive South, expressed sympathy for the community's recent hardships and the challenges they are facing. He shares his frustration about noise from short-term rentals.

Bob Copeland, 447 20th Avenue, discussed the value of the property, noting that the property appraiser's value is \$3.1 million, higher than the claim of \$2.6 million being made.

Kristen Smith, 484 Harbor Drive North, pointed out that the property has an unapproved four-person bunk room on the first floor.

Ms. J. Mulling, 461 20th Avenue, expressed concerns about the impact of short-term rentals on residential communities. She urged against relaxing regulations.

Laura Robinson, 1923 Gulf Boulevard, emphasized that the community is opposed to the proposed changes.

Jorge Blassino, 124 13th Avenue, urged the commission to consider both the positive and negative aspects of the issue at hand, rather than focusing solely on the potential negative outcomes.

Lan Vaughan, 301 Harbor Drive, reflected on his experience working with the community to develop the current ordinance. He encouraged the commission to vote in line with the constituents' wishes.

Matthew Barrowclough, 211 11th Avenue, stated he helped his neighbors after the hurricane and provided housing to a family.

Kellee Watt, 431 Harbor Drive South, urged the commission to prioritize the community's interests over financial concerns when making these decisions.

Jerry Newton, 438 Harbor Drive North, expressed frustration with the idea of rewarding a violator who has been problematic from the start

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg closed Public Comment.

Vice-Mayor-Commissioner Wilson clarified that there was a misconception about Matt Barrowclough meeting with the Commission as a whole. She confirmed that Matt may have met with individual members, but not with the full Commission.

Mayor -Commissioner Houseberg clarified that after the hurricane, she reached out to Matthew Barrowclough to ask if any of his properties could help house those who became unhoused. Matt responded multiple times with options that were more affordable. She expressed appreciation for the help Matt provided and emphasized that the community came together to assist, regardless of whether individuals were short-term renters or residents. Mayor Commissioner Houseberg stated that short-term rentals are not going away. She expressed confidence that, if no agreement were reached that night, mediation or arbitration would take place to resolve the matter.

Commissioner Wyant raised concerns about the potential for other property owners to file claims after a new ordinance is passed, particularly regarding occupancy restrictions. She asked if someone could purchase a property after the ordinance and then challenge it.

City Attorney Mora responded that claims can be made at any time, but such claims would not be successful if the property was purchased after the ordinance was adopted.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg asked Commissioner Bigelow if he would like to make a motion. Commissioner Bigelow responded no, not on this issue.

Mayor Commissioner Houseberg asked Commissioner Bond if he would like to make a motion. Commissioner Bond stated he would like to discuss item 6 B first.

Motion made by Vice-Mayor-Commissioner Wilson, seconded by Commissioner Bigelow to send a response for no changes or accommodations.

Commissioner Bond asked for more clarification.

City Attorney Mora explained that "no changes" to the property would result in litigation. A "yes" vote on the motion made by Vice-Mayor-Commissioner Wilson means agreeing to send the letter with no changes or provided accommodations. A "no" vote on the motion made by Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson would reject the motion.

Ayes: Wilson Bigelow

Nay: Wyant, Houseberg, Bond

MOTION FAILED 3 - 2.

Motion made by Commissioner Wyant, seconded by Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg, to send the letter in its current form which would provide accommodation for up to 14 occupants at the property 455 20th Avenue.

Ayes: Wyant, Bond, Houseberg

Nay: Wilson, Bigelow

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED 3 - 2.

Motion made by Commissioner Bond and seconded by Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson to extend the meeting past 9:30 p.m.

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. First Reading of Ordinance 2025-01: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF INDIAN ROCKS BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE REGULATORY SCHEME REGARDING THE MARKETING AND OPERATION OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS WITHIN THE CITY; AMENDING ARTICLE V, "VACATION RENTAL REGULATIONS," WITHIN CHAPTER 18 – "BUSINESSES"; AMENDING THE ORDINANCE REGULATING THE REGISTRATION, INSPECTION, SAFETY, AND OPERATION OF VACATION RENTALS WITHIN SPECIFIED ZONING DISTRICTS IN THE CITY OF INDIAN ROCKS BEACH; AMENDING RELATED DEFINITIONS CONCERNING PERMISSIBLE OCCUPANCY; ALTERING REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS; MODIFYING ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS; AMENDING INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS; AMENDING THE DUTIES OF DESIGNATED RESPONSIBLE PARTIES; CLARIFYING LIFE SAFETY REQUIREMENTS; MAKING RELATED FINDINGS; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, SUPREMACY, SEVERABILITY, AND FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

City Attorney Mora read Agenda Item 6B.

City Attorney Mora stated that Ordinance 2025-01 largely mirrors Ordinance 2023-02.

City Attorney Mora's memo to the City Commission details updates to an ordinance regarding vacation rentals:

Habitable Living Space Definition: The updated ordinance clarifies that spaces like bathrooms, kitchens, storage areas, and offices (if approved) are excluded from being considered habitable for sleeping purposes, which impacts where occupants can be placed.

Registration Process: The registration for vacation rentals would occur every two years instead of annually.

Inspection Requirements: Inspections will still be required.

Advertising Changes: The requirement to post a "neighborhood notice" about being in a residential area has been removed, though the noise ordinance still applies. The ordinance also clarifies rules about advertising occupancy for adjoining units under one property owner.

Occupancy Limits: The ordinance establishes a maximum of 12 overnight occupants, or fewer, based on square footage calculations. A detailed formula outlines how occupancy limits are determined by room size.

Life Safety Compliance: Vacation rentals must comply with the Florida Fire Prevention Code, with enforcement handled by the Fire Marshal.

Suspension/Revocation: A provision regarding the suspension and revocation of vacation rental licenses has been eliminated.

If approved on first and second readings, the ordinance would resolve seven pending lawsuits. If not passed, the city would need to litigate its existing ordinance. City Attorney Mora concluded with a request from the City Commission's direction on how to proceed, with public comment invited before a vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Don House, 2104 Beach Trail, raised concerns about whether the current vacation rental ordinance is legally defensible in court.

City Attorney Mora responded to Don House by explaining that the current ordinance is legally defensible, particularly with respect to the Tallahassee preemption rules (Section 509.0327B), which limit local regulations on the duration and frequency of short-term rentals. While there are ongoing constitutional challenges—such as the First Amendment and due process claims, Mora believes the city's position is strong and that the ordinance is compliant with state law. Mora acknowledged that the litigation is complex and may involve other legal issues beyond the Tallahassee preemption. He also clarified that the proposed changes in the ordinance do not remove inspections. Inspections would continue as part of the registration process for vacation rentals, and any modifications to properties would still need to comply with building and fire safety codes. Mora emphasized that the ordinance is designed to be clear and defensible in court, and any changes to the ordinance are intended to address specific issues raised in litigation.

Patti Katz, 124 13th Avenue, expressed disappointment with the city commission. Katz took issue with commissioners allegedly having conversations with someone suing the city.

Bob Copeland, 447 20th Avenue, praised the current ordinance as "superb," emphasizing that it ensures due process and justice. He argued that there is no need to change it unless there is a problem.

John Pfanstiehl, 448 Harbor Drive South, expressed concern that the proposed changes to the ordinance would have negative consequences for neighborhoods and safety.

Scotti Vaughan, 301 Harbor Drive, expressed frustration over the proposed changes to the ordinances, emphasizing the hard work and thought that had gone into creating the current rules. She explained that her husband, who had served on the Commission, and the community had invested significant time and effort to carefully craft these ordinances. She urged the Commission to not change the ordinance.

Diane Daniel, 309 10th Avenue, expressed confusion about the changes to the short-term rental ordinances and suggested a comparison list highlighting the differences between the old and new rules. She shared her personal experience of renting her home part-time.

Beth McMullen, 481 Harbor Drive South, expressed concern about the long-term changes that will occur in the town due to the aftermath of recent hurricanes.

Matt Knez, 111 Canal, questioned whether legal advice had been sought regarding the changes and emphasized that not all short-term rental owners are large corporations, as some are individuals who rent for personal or family reasons.

Dan Mynsberge, 442 Harbor Drive North expressed concerns about the proposed changes to occupancy rules in residential areas.

Kelly Cisarik 448 Harbor Drive North, recommended tabling the ordinance until the outcome of the Bert Harris settlement case is resolved.

RB Johnson 1206 Beach Trail, emphasized that the original ordinance was carefully crafted with input from the community and the city attorney, was well-received by most residents, and was defensible. He stated the City Commission's role is to prioritize the happiness of the citizens, not to appease opponents.

Eddie Bie, 497 20th Ave, agreed with many points raised by others, particularly regarding the changes made to the ordinance, which they feel will significantly weaken it.

Ms. J. Mulling, 461 20th Avenue, emphasized the importance of rules and enforcement in any organization or society.

Mayor Commissioner Houseberg closed Public Comment.

Commissioner Bond asked what was not allowed to be restricted.

City Attorney Mora stated according to Florida Statute 509.032 any restrictions that were not in place before 2011, restricting duration, frequency, or otherwise prohibiting the operation of a short-term rental in any zoning restriction.

Commissioner Bond explained that he and Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg were the only commissioners seated at this meeting who were involved in creating the ordinance that is now being discussed. He acknowledged that not everyone was fully supportive of it when it was first proposed, as some wanted more than what was offered, but they crafted it based on what they felt was feasible. Bond emphasized that while they agree in principle with the concerns raised, the challenge is in the execution. He noted that the ordinance was designed with caution to avoid potential negative consequences for the city, which is why risk must be carefully assessed. Bond expressed that the proposed modifications may not significantly change the overall impact, such as improving neighborhood quietness or reducing chaos, as enforcement measures like suspensions or revocations are not being implemented.

Commissioner Wyant recalled that during the August 2024 City Commission Meeting, she was the tiebreaker, who shut down the issue. The matter was then sent back to court by a judge. Wyant acknowledged the significant effort put into the 2023 ordinance, noting it took from 2011 to 2018 to impose restrictions on short-term rentals, and from 2018 to 2023 to finalize the current ordinance. Wyant expressed understanding of the work involved but stated that, given the changing environment, there are currently more pressing issues than making a few changes to the ordinance.

Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson stated that the City is fortunate to have Randy Mora as its City Attorney. He is very well respected. We are going to be fighting the issue of short-term rentals until something changes in Tallahassee. She stated she knows the cost of hurricane recovery has put the city in a situation that it was not in back in August 2024. She stated that she just cannot give up the fight.

Commissioner Bigelow stated that residents have spoken and said that they do not want to change the Ordinance.

Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg expressed that she does not see the proposal for every-other-year inspections as a major obstacle. She acknowledged the city's small staff and the preference not to expand it by managing short-term rentals. Given the over \$200,000 already spent on litigation, she felt sacrificing a few minor aspects was not a significant issue. She also clarified that assuming every short-term rental will have 12 occupants is inaccurate. Mayor-Commissioner Houseberg noted that everyone anticipated a legal pushback in 2023 and expected the lawsuit would lead to negotiations for a different resolution.

Motion made by Commissioner Wyant to adopt Ordinance 2025-01 and seconded by Commissioner Bond.

AYES: Wyant, Bond, Houseberg

NAY: Bigelow, Wilson

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED 3 - 2.

7. **OTHER LEGISLATIVE MATTERS:** None.
8. **WORK SESSION:** None.
9. **OTHER BUSINESS:** None.
10. **ADJOURNMENT.**

Motion to adjourn was made by Commissioner Wyant and seconded by Vice-Mayor Commissioner Wilson to adjourn at 10:42 p.m. Unanimous approval by acclamation.

2/11/2025
Date Approved


Denise Houseberg, Mayor-Commissioner

Attest: 
Lorin A. Kornijtschuk, City Clerk